

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/664,126	TODD ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
JOHN J. FIGUEROA	1796	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) JOHN J. FIGUEROA. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) ELIZABETH L. DURHAM. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 March 2010

**Time:** 9:00 AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15, 21 and 47

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John J. Figueroa/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to an Examiner's amendment that amends independent method claim 15 to limit the degradable material to be (poly)orthoester or orthoester; amend transition language in claim 21 to "further comprising"; rejoins the method claims that were previously withdrawn (23-27 and 31); and cancels the current composition claims (47-68 and 83).